

Category 1 - Persistently Low Achieving Schools Under Regulation 103 (Title I participating schools that are under improvement)

District	School	AYP Status for 2011-12 School Year	Grade Span	Percent Proficient (Math and ELA Average)				Three Year Slope (2008-10)	Ranking for 2011 % Proficient	Ranking for Three Year Slope	Average Ranking	Graduation Rates		
				DSTP		DCAS						2008	2009	2010
				2008	2009	2010	2011							
Red Clay	Lewis Dual Language Elem	SI2	KN-5	69.38	65.56	57.68	26.24	-5.85	31	31	31.0			
Red Clay	Stanton Middle	SI1	6-8	73.39	68.19	60.56	34.82	-6.42	26	33	29.5			
Red Clay	Marbrook Elem	SI1	KN-5	73.97	67.66	64.75	35.25	-4.61	25	30	27.5			
Christina	Bancroft Elem	R5	BK-05	49.80	38.96	47.59	23.74	-1.10	33	16	24.5			
Red Clay	Warner Elem	R1	KN-5	44.88	39.84	43.63	21.67	-0.63	34	13	23.5			

Schools eligible for this category are Title I participating schools that are under improvement. There were 35 eligible schools. The 35 schools were ranked on the dimensions of English/language arts and mathematics proficiency rates and the three year slope (trend over the last three years of DSTP, 2008-2010). The school with highest percent proficient for 2011 on DCAS was given a rank of 1, and the school with the lowest percent proficient was given a rank of 35 (*Ranking for 2011 % Proficient*). The school with the largest (improving) slope value was given a rank of 1 and the school with the smallest (regressing) slope value was given a rank of 35 (*Ranking for Three Year Slope*). An overall average ranking (*Average Ranking*) was created for each school by taking the average for the ranking for *Ranking for 2011 % Proficient* and *Ranking for Three Year Slope*. The lowest- achieving schools for this category were determined by taking the five schools with the highest overall *Average Ranking*. The five schools are listed above. Eligible schools could also be listed as lowest-achieving if the school had a graduation rate less than 60% for two of the last three years. There were no schools in this category that met the graduation rate criterion.

AYP Status

- N - Not under Improvement
- SI1 - Under Improvement Phase 1
- SI2 - Under Improvement Phase 2
- CA - Corrective Action Phase 1
- R1 - Corrective Action Phase 2
- R2+ - Restructuring

Category 2 - Persistently Low Achieving Schools Under Reg. 103 (Middle & High Schools that are eligible for Title I funds, not participating)

District	School	AYP Status for 2011-12 School Year	Grade Span	Percent Proficient (Math and ELA Average)				Three Year Slope (2008-10)	Ranking for 2011 % Proficient	Ranking for Three Year Slope	Average Ranking	Graduation Rates					
				DSTP		DCAS	2008					2009	2010	2011	2008	2009	2010
				2008	2009												
Capital	Dover High	SI2	9-12	62.28	56.51	52.22	52.18	-5.03	21	24	22.5	76.75	75.61	80.42			
Brandywine	Brandywine High	R4	9-12	68.50	58.32	50.48	60.62	-9.01	16	26	21.0	89.09	91.34	87.83			
Laurel	Laurel Middle	SI2	7-8	69.94	64.79	65.26	51.90	-2.34	22	17	19.5						
Caesar Rodney	Fifer Middle	SI2	6-8	80.11	77.92	72.72	55.79	-3.70	19	20	19.5						
Red Clay	Dickinson High	R4	9-12	53.84	48.12	50.68	45.29	-1.58	26	13	19.5	68.50	68.31	72.89			

Schools eligible for this category are middle and high schools that are eligible for Title I funds (i.e., Poverty rate $\geq 35\%$) but are not participating in Title I. There were 26 eligible schools. The 26 schools were ranked on the dimensions of English/language arts and mathematics proficiency rates and the three year slope (trend over the last three years of DSTP, 2008-2010). The school with highest percent proficient for 2011 was given a rank of 1, and the school with the lowest percent proficient was given a rank of 26 (*Ranking for 2011 % Proficient*). The school with the largest (improving) slope value was given a rank of 1 and the school with the smallest (regressing) slope value was given a rank of 26 (*Ranking for Three Year Slope*). An overall average ranking (*Average Ranking*) was created for each school by taking the average for the ranking for *Ranking for 2011 % Proficient* and *Ranking for Three Year Slope*. The lowest-achieving schools for this category were determined by taking the five schools with the highest overall *Average Ranking*. The five schools are listed above. Eligible schools could also be listed as lowest-achieving if the school had a graduation rate less than 60% for two of the last three years. There were no schools in this category that met the graduation rate criterion.

AYP Status

- N - Not under Improvement
- SI1 - Under Improvement Phase 1
- SI2 - Under Improvement Phase 2
- CA - Corrective Action Phase 1
- R1 - Corrective Action Phase 2
- R2+ - Restructuring

Category 3 - Persistently Low Achieving Schools Under Regulation 103 (Middle & High Schools that are not eligible for Title I funds)

District	School	AYP Status for 2011-12 School Year	Grade Span	Percent Proficient (Math and ELA Average)				Three Year Slope (2008-10)	Ranking for 2011 % Proficient	Ranking for Three Year Slope	Average Ranking	Graduation Rates					
				DSTP		DCAS	2008					2009	2010	2011	2008	2009	2010
				2008	2009												
Appoquinimink	Meredith Middle	SI2	6-8	86.14	83.10	80.86	69.56	-2.64	9	11	10.0						
Appoquinimink	Appoquinimink High*	N	9-11		75.29	70.40	70.34	-4.89	8	12	10.0						
NCC Votech	Hodgson Vo-Tech High	N	9-12	66.70	76.21	65.81	67.18	-0.45	10	8	9.0	98.76	98.79	98.76			
Brandywine	Springer Middle	N	7-8			81.41	65.40	0.00	11	5	8.0						
NCC Votech	St. Georges HS of Tech	N	9-12	67.85	61.80	64.18	77.84	-1.84	5	10	7.5			98.32			

Schools eligible for this category are middle and high schools that are not eligible for Title I funds (i.e., Poverty rate < 35%). There were 12 eligible schools. The 12 schools were ranked on the dimensions of English/language arts and mathematics proficiency rates and the three year slope (trend over the last three years of DSTP, 2008-2010). The school with highest percent proficient for 2011 was given a rank of 1, and the school with the lowest percent proficient was given a rank of 12 (*Ranking for 2011 % Proficient*). The school with the largest (improving) slope value was given a rank of 1 and the school with the smallest (regressing) slope value was given a rank of 12 (*Ranking for Three Year Slope*). An overall average ranking (*Average Ranking*) was created for each school by taking the average for the ranking for *Ranking for 2011 % Proficient* and *Ranking for Three Year Slope*. The lowest- achieving schools for this category were determined by taking the five schools with the highest overall *Average Ranking*. The five schools are listed above. Eligible schools could also be listed as lowest-achieving if the school had a graduation rate less than 60% for two of the last three years. There were no schools in this category that met the graduation rate criterion.

* School is new and did not yet have a graduating class from 2008-2010.

AYP Status

- N - Not under Improvement
- SI1 - Under Improvement Phase 1
- SI2 - Under Improvement Phase 2
- CA - Corrective Action Phase 1
- R1 - Corrective Action Phase 2
- R2+ - Restructuring