

Category 1 - Persistently Low Achieving Schools Under Regulation 103 (Title I participating schools that are under improvement)

District	School	AYP Status for 2010-11 School Year	Grade Span	Percent Proficient (Math and ELA Average)			Three Year Slope	Ranking for 2010 % Proficient	Ranking for Three Year Slope	Average Ranking	Graduation Rates		
				2008	2009	2010					2007	2008	2009
Positive Outcomes	Positive Outcomes	R1	7-12	48.37	35.48	29.73	-9.32	35	35	35.0	62.50	50.00	72.22
Christina	Stubbs Elem	SI2	KN-5	54.65	35.88	40.42	-7.12	33	34	33.5			
NCC Votech	Howard HS of Tech	CA	9-12	56.41	49.45	44.00	-6.21	30	33	31.5	96.27	97.03	96.97
Christina	Glasgow High	SI2	9-12	41.28	41.22	35.59	-2.85	34	25	29.5	72.91	68.18	73.04
Capital	Dover High	SI1	9-12	62.28	56.51	52.22	-5.03	26	31	28.5	77.12	76.75	75.61

Schools eligible for this category are Title I participating schools that are under improvement. There were 35 eligible schools. The 35 schools were ranked on the dimensions of English/language arts and mathematics proficiency rates and the three year slope (trend over the last three years). The school with largest percent proficient for 2010 was given a rank of 1, and the school with the smallest percent proficient was given a rank of 35 (*Ranking for 2010 % Proficient*). The school with the largest (improving) slope value was given a rank of 1 and the school with the smallest (regressing) slope value was given a rank of 35 (*Ranking for Three Year Slope*). An overall average ranking (*Average Ranking*) was created for each school by taking the average for the ranking for *Ranking for 2010 % Proficient* and *Ranking for Three Year Slope*. The lowest- achieving schools for this category were determined by taking the five schools with the largest scores (values) for the overall *Average Ranking* column. The five schools are listed above. Eligible schools could also be listed as lowest-achieving if the school had a graduation rate less than 60% for two of the last three years. There were no schools in this category that met the graduation rate criterion.

AYP Status

- N - Not under Improvement
- SI1 - Under Improvement Phase 1
- SI2 - Under Improvement Phase 2
- CA - Corrective Action Phase 1
- R1 - Corrective Action Phase 2
- R2+ - Restructuring

Category 2 - Persistently Low Achieving Schools Under Reg. 103 (Middle & High Schools that are eligible for Title I funds, not participating)

District	School	AYP Status for 2010-11 School Year	Grade Span	Percent Proficient (Math and ELA Average)			Three Year Slope	Ranking for 2010 % Proficient	Ranking for Three Year Slope	Average Ranking	Graduation Rates		
				2008	2009	2010					2007	2008	2009
Brandywine	Brandywine High	R4	9-12	68.50	58.32	50.48	-9.01	18	19	18.5	85.88	89.09	91.34
Red Clay	Stanton Middle	SI2	6-8	73.39	68.19	60.56	-6.42	13	18	15.5			
Lake Forest	Lake Forest High	CA	9-12	61.18	61.89	53.62	-3.78	15	16	15.5	74.60	79.35	81.73
Delmar	Delmar Senior High	N	9-12	71.78	68.32	63.21	-4.28	12	17	14.5	90.09	90.83	91.27
Red Clay	Dickinson High	R4	9-12	53.84	48.12	50.68	-1.58	17	10	13.5	63.18	68.50	68.31

Schools eligible for this category are middle and high schools that are eligible for Title I funds (i.e., Poverty rate \geq 35%) but are not participating in Title I. There were 19 eligible schools. The 19 schools were ranked on the dimensions of English/language arts and mathematics proficiency rates and the three year slope (trend over the last three years). The school with largest percent proficient for 2010 was given a rank of 1, and the school with the smallest percent proficient was given a rank of 19 (*Ranking for 2010 % Proficient*). The school with the largest (improving) slope value was given a rank of 1 and the school with the smallest (regressing) slope value was given a rank of 19 (*Ranking for Three Year Slope*). An overall average ranking (*Average Ranking*) was created for each school by taking the average for the ranking for *Ranking for 2010 % Proficient* and *Ranking for Three Year Slope*. The lowest- achieving schools for this category were determined by taking the five schools with the largest scores (values) for the overall *Average Ranking* column. The five schools are listed above. Eligible schools could also be listed as lowest-achieving if the school had a graduation rate less than 60% for two of the last three years. There were no schools in this category that met the graduation rate criterion.

AYP Status

- N - Not under Improvement
- SI1 - Under Improvement Phase 1
- SI2 - Under Improvement Phase 2
- CA - Corrective Action Phase 1
- R1 - Corrective Action Phase 2
- R2+ - Restructuring

Category 3 - Persistently Low Achieving Schools Under Regulation 103 (Middle & High Schools that are not eligible for Title I funds)

District	School	AYP Status for 2010-11 School Year	Grade Span	Percent Proficient (Math and ELA Average)			Three Year Slope	Ranking for 2010 % Proficient	Ranking for Three Year Slope	Average Ranking	Graduation Rates		
				2008	2009	2010					2007	2008	2009
Red Clay	A I duPont High	N	9-12	77.81	76.37	66.51	-5.65	14	17	15.5	82.59	88.49	91.72
Smyrna	Smyrna High	R2	9-12	59.97	61.50	55.81	-2.08	17	13	15.0	72.65	76.73	88.24
Caesar Rodney	Caesar Rodney High	SI1	9-12	76.70	72.32	69.00	-3.85	13	15	14.0	86.05	77.96	84.42
Appoquinimink	Appoquinimink High*	N	9-11		75.29	70.40	-4.89	12	16	14.0			
NCC Votech	St. Georges HS of Tech*	N	9-12	67.85	61.80	64.18	-1.84	16	12	14.0			

Schools eligible for this category are middle and high schools that are not eligible for Title I funds (i.e., Poverty rate < 35%). There were 17 eligible schools. The 17 schools were ranked on the dimensions of English/language arts and mathematics proficiency rates and the three year slope (trend over the last three years). The school with largest percent proficient for 2010 was given a rank of 1, and the school with the smallest percent proficient was given a rank of 17 (*Ranking for 2010 % Proficient*). The school with the largest (improving) slope value was given a rank of 1 and the school with the smallest (regressing) slope value was given a rank of 17 (*Ranking for Three Year Slope*). An overall average ranking (*Average Ranking*) was created for each school by taking the average for the ranking for *Ranking for 2010 % Proficient* and *Ranking for Three Year Slope*. The lowest-achieving schools for this category were determined by taking the largest scores (values) for the overall *Average Ranking* column. The five schools are listed above. Eligible schools could also be listed as lowest-achieving if the school had a graduation rate less than 60% for two of the last three years. There were no schools in this category that met the graduation rate criterion.

* School is new and did not yet have a graduating class from 2007-2009.

AYP Status

- N - Not under Improvement
- SI1 - Under Improvement Phase 1
- SI2 - Under Improvement Phase 2
- CA - Corrective Action Phase 1
- R1 - Corrective Action Phase 2
- R2+ - Restructuring